

CME: QUFLAK

Email: clin@uabmc.edu

The Stroke Rehabilitation and Recovery Spectrum of Care

Chen Lin, MD Assistant Professor Department of Neurology

Financial Disclosure

• No relevant financial conflicts of interest



Learning Objectives

- Overview of stroke recovery timeline
- Review guidelines of stroke rehabilitation and recovery in the acute setting
- Multidisciplinary clinics and the Stroke Recovery Clinic
- Discuss selected post-stroke symptoms
- Review HRQOL in stroke

Stroke Statistics

- In the US, 5th leading cause of death.
- A leading cause of disability worldwide (CDC, 2015)
 - ~800,000 new stroke per year (AHA)
 - ~80% stroke patients will have motor deficits
 - NINDS estimates 7 million stroke survivors
- 45-60% of all stroke patients will need rehabilitation.
- At 6 months, 60% do clinically well but 40% are significantly disabled (Abrams).

Neurobiology of motor recovery



¹ Haemorrhagic stroke specific. ² Treatments extend to 24 hours to accommodate options for anterior and posterior circulation, as well as basilar occlusion.

Bernhardt J, Hayward KS, Kwakkel G, et al. Agreed definitions and a shared vision for new standards in stroke recovery research: The Stroke Recovery and Rehabilitation Roundtable taskforce. *International Journal of Stroke*. 2017;12(5):444-450. doi:10.1177/1747493017711816



AHA/ASA Guideline

Guidelines for Adult Stroke Rehabilitation and Recovery A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association

Endorsed by the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation and the American Society of Neurorehabilitation

The American Academy of Neurology affirms the value of this guideline as an educational tool for neurologists and the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine also affirms the educational value of these guidelines for its members

Carolee J. Winstein, PhD, PT, Chair; Joel Stein, MD, Vice Chair; Ross Arena, PhD, PT, FAHA; Barbara Bates, MD, MBA; Leora R. Cherney, PhD; Steven C. Cramer, MD; Frank Deruyter, PhD; Janice J. Eng, PhD, BSc; Beth Fisher, PhD, PT; Richard L. Harvey, MD; Catherine E. Lang, PhD, PT; Marilyn MacKay-Lyons, BSc, MScPT, PhD; Kenneth J. Ottenbacher, PhD, OTR; Sue Pugh, MSN, RN, CNS-BC, CRRN, CNRN, FAHA; Mathew J. Reeves, PhD, DVM, FAHA; Lorie G. Richards, PhD, OTR/L; William Stiers, PhD, ABPP (RP); Richard D. Zorowitz, MD; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research

Table 1. Applying Classification of Recommendations and Level of Evidence

		JILL OF TREA	IMENT EFFECT	
	CLASS I Benefit >>> Risk Procedure/Treatment SHOULD be performed/ administered	CLASS IIa Benefit >> Risk Additional studies with focused objectives needed IT IS REASONABLE to per- form procedure/administer treatment	CLASS IIb Benefit ≥ Risk Additional studies with broad objectives needed; additional registry data would be helpful Procedure/Treatment MAY BE CONSIDERED	CLASS III No Benefit or CLASS III Harm Procedure/ Test Treatment COR III: No benefit Not Helpful No Proven Benefit COR III: No benefit Excess Cost W/O Benefit Harmful to Patients or Harmful
LEVEL A Multiple populations evaluated* Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses	 Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective Sufficient evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses 	 Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/effective Some conflicting evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses 	 Recommendation's usefulness/efficacy less well established Greater conflicting evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses 	 Recommendation that procedure or treatment is not useful/effective and may be harmful Sufficient evidence from multiple randomized trials or meta-analyses
LEVEL B Limited populations evaluated* Data derived from a single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies	 Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective Evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies 	 Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/effective Some conflicting evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies 	 Recommendation's usefulness/efficacy less well established Greater conflicting evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies 	 Recommendation that procedure or treatment is not useful/effective and may be harmful Evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies
LEVEL C Very limited populations evaluated* Only consensus opinion of experts, case studies, or standard of care	 Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective Only expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care 	 Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/effective Only diverging expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care 	 Recommendation's usefulness/efficacy less well established Only diverging expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care 	 Recommendation that procedure or treatment is not useful/effective and may be harmful Only expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care

4.11. Rehabilitation

4.11. Rehabilitation	COR	LOE	New, Revised, or Unchanged
 It is recommended that early rehabilitation for hospitalized stroke patients be provided in environments with organized, interprofessional stroke care. 	j.	A	Recommendation unchanged from 2016 Rehab Guidelines.
2. It is recommended that stroke survivors receive rehabilitation at an intensity commensurate with anticipated benefit and tolerance.	I.	B-NR	Recommendation and Class unchanged from 2016 Rehab Guidelines. LOE amended to conform with ACC/AHA 2015 Recommendation Classification System.
3. High-dose, very early mobilization within 24 hours of stroke onset should not be performed because it can reduce the odds of a favorable outcome at 3 months.	III: Harm	B-R	Recommendation wording modified from 2016 Rehab Guidelines to match Class III stratifications. LOE revised. Class amended to conform with ACC/AHA 2015 Recommendation Classification System.
The AVERT RCT (A Very Early Rehabilitation Trial) compared high-dose, very ecare mobility. ²⁴³ High-dose mobilization protocol interventions included the for within 24 hours of stroke onset whereas usual care typically was 24 hours af a focus on sitting, standing, and walking activity; and there were at least 3 ac compared with usual care. Favorable outcome at 3 months after stroke was care total of 2104 patients were randomly assigned (1:1). The results of the RCT dose, very early mobilization group had less favorable outcomes (46% versus group: 8% versus 7% of patients died in the very early mobilization group and serious adverse event with high-dose, very early mobilization.	early mobilization llowing: Mobilizat ter the onset of st ditional out-of-be defined as an mRS showed that pati 50%) than those d 19% versus 20%	with standard-of- ion was begun roke; there was of sessions S score of 0 to 2. ents in the high- in the usual care 6 had a nonfatal	See Table LVIII in online Data Supplement 1.
4. It is recommended that all individuals with stroke be provided a formal assessment of their activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living, communication abilities, and functional mobility before discharge from acute care hospitalization and the findings be incorporated into the care transition and the discharge planning process.	1	B-NR	Recommendation and Class unchanged from 2016 Rehab Guidelines. LOE amended to conform with ACC/AHA 2015 Recommendation Classification System.
5. A functional assessment by a clinician with expertise in rehabilitation is recommended for patients with an acute stroke with residual functional deficits.	1	C-LD	Recommendation and Class unchanged from 2016 Rehab Guidelines. LOE amended to conform with ACC/AHA 2015 Recommendation Classification System.
6. The effectiveness of fluoxetine or other selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors to enhance motor recovery is not well established.	llb	C-LD	Recommendation and Class unchanged from 2016 Rehab Guidelines. LOE revised from 2016 Rehab Guidelines.

4.11. Rehabilitation

4.11. Rehabilitation	COR	LOE
1. It is recommended that early rehabilitation for hospitalized stroke patients be provided in environments with organized, interprofessional stroke care.	1	A
2. It is recommended that stroke survivors receive rehabilitation at an intensity commensurate with anticipated benefit and tolerance.	Ţ	B-NR

Т

Is rehab important?

- Meta-analysis of 10 trials: 1586 pts randomized to multidisciplinary team rehab vs general medicine care:
 - 28% reduction in mortality at 4 month
 - 21% reduction in mortality at 1 year
 - Less need for SNF, fewer deaths, and less disability
- An extra 5 patients return home independent for every 100 patients receiving stroke rehab.

Langhorne et al, Lancet 1993

Duncan. Stroke 2



3. High-dose, very early mobilization within 24 hours of stroke onset should not be performed because it can reduce the odds of a favorable outcome at 3 months.	III: Harm	B-R

AVERT: A Very Early Rehabilitation Trial

- Phase 3, Parallel-group, single-blind, RCT at 56 acute stroke units in five countries
- 2104 pts between 7/2006-10/2014
- Compared high-dose, very early mobilization with standard-of-care
- High-dose mobilization protocol: Mobilization was begun within 24 hours of stroke onset, focus on <u>out of bed</u> <u>activities</u>, and at least 3 additional out-of-bed sessions.
- Primary outcome: favorable mRS 0-2 at 3 months

Primary Outcome

HE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM.



46% VEM vs 50% UC in favorable (mRS 0-2) outcome (adjusted odds ratio 0.73, 95% Cl 0.59–0.90; p=0.004). 8% VEM vs 7% (OR 1.34, 95% Cl 0.93–1.93, p=0.113) death

Table 2: Intervention Summary

	Very early mobilisation (n=1054)	Usual care (n=1050)	p value	Median shift (95% Cl)
Time to first mobilisation (h)	18·5 (12·8-22·3; n=1042*)	22·4 (16·5-29·3; n=1036*)	<0.0001	4.8 (4.1-5.7)
Frequency per person†	6.5 (4.0-9.5)	3 (2.0-4.5)	<0.0001	3 (3-3.5)
Daily amount per person (min)‡	31 (16-5-50-5)	10 (0-18)	<0.0001	21.0 (20-22.5)
Total amount per person (min)§	201.5 (108-340)	70 (32-130)	<0.0001	117 (107–128)

- Most Usual Care still within 24 hours
- No difference in complication rates
- Did not record LVOs (Used Oxfordshire class system)
- Focus on intensive out of bed therapy, and very early initiation
- We know intensive therapy trials have not been successful

Approaches to therapy

- Constraint- Induced Movement Therapy (CIMT)- started in 1993 but used in multiple therapy trials including EXCITE by Wolf et al (IIa, A).
- Robotics- generally Class II recommendations. Shown to have some benefit. Largest US study VA Robotics Trial did not show significant difference with therapy (IIa, A).
- Neuromodulation: neuromuscular E-stim, sensory stimulation, VNS, and tDCS (IIa-III).





Constraint-induced movement therapy (CIMT)

• Theory of "learned non-use"

1993

- those with paretic (or sensory deprived) limb will "learn" not to use it.

- If intact limb constrained, movement in impaired limb improves.

- creatively "forcing" the use of a hemiparetic limb improves functional recovery.

Intervention: CIMT

Taub et al, Arch Phys Med Rehabil



Email: clin@uabmc.edu



- 1st trial had 9 patients >1 year after initial stroke
 -Hemiparetic, 20° wrist ext and 10° finger ext
- Method:
 - Experimental group (4)- subjects forced to use weak arm, 6 hrs/day for 10days in rehab. Good arm restrained. 90% of waking hours x 2 wks.
 - Control group (5)- physical therapy and passive movement.
- Outcome: Functional use of paretic arm



Nature Reviews | Neuroscience

Taub et al, Nat Rev Neurosci. 2002



Email: clin@uabmc.edu





Table 3

FLAME

Fugl-Meyer motor scale (FMMS) scores

	Fluoxetine (n=57)	Placebo (n=56)	Difference between groups (95% CI)	p value
Day 90				
Total score				
Mean (SD)	53.7 (27.8)	35-1 (22)	18-6 (9-2 to 27-9)	1.0
Median (IQR)	59 (28 to 77)	29 (22 to 47-5)		0.0006*
Upper limb				
Mean (SD)	29.7 (22.2)	16-2 (16-6)	13-5 (6-2 to 20-8)	1.04
Median (IQR)	32 (6 to 50)	10 (4 to 24)		0.001"
Lower limb				
Mean (SD)	24 (7.9)	18-9 (8-2)	5.1 (2.1 to 8.1)	
Median (IQR)	27 (19 to 31)	19 (13 to 25)	-	0.001"
Change from day 0 to day	90			
Total score				
Mean (SD)	36-4 (21-3)	21.9 (16-7)	14-5 (7-3 to 21-6)	
Adjusted mean (95% CI)	34-0 (29-7 to 38-4)	24-3 (19-9 to 28-7)	9-8 (3-4 to 16-1)	0-003†
Upper limb				
Mean (SD)	24-2 (19-8)	11-8 (14-8)	12-4 (5-9 to 18-9)	
Adjusted mean (95% CI)	22.9 (18.6 to 27.1)	13-1 (8-9 to 17-4)	9.7 (3.6 to 15.9)	0.002†
Lower limb				
Mean (SD)	12-2 (6-8)	10-1 (6-8)	2-1 (-0-4 to 4-6)	
Adjusted mean (95% CI)	12-8 (11-1 to 14-5)	9.5 (7.8 to 11.2)	3-3 (0-8 to 5-7)	0.010†



What does a 3 Point Change on Fugl-Meyer mean? Severe-Moderate Impairment



Severe: Lift paretic arm to wash axilla Fugl-Meyer 11 to 14



Place arm into sleeve Fugl-Meyer 19 to 22

Moderate: Tuck shirt, hike pants Fugl-Meyer 25 to 28





FLAME- modified Rankin Score



Chollet et al. Lancet Neurology, 2011.



FOCUS, EFFECTS, and AFFINITY Trials

- 3 major Fluoxetine trials (20mg) within 2-15 days of ischemic stroke for 6 months
- FOCUS (2019): UK trial of 3127 pts (1564 fluoxetine vs 1563 placebo)
- EFFECTS (2020): Sweden, 1500 pts (750 fluoxetine vs 750 placebo)
- AFFINITY (2020): Australasia, 1280 pts (624 fluoxetine vs 632 placebo)
- Primary Outcome: modified Rankin Score at 6 months (ordinal)
 Dennis M et al. Lance

Dennis M et al. Lancet, 2019 Lundstrom E et al. Lancet, 2020 Hankey GJ et al. Lancet, 2020





Takeaways from 3 large Fluoxetine trials

- Fluoxetine was associated with significantly more bone fractures in all 3 trials
 - SSRIs linked to reduced bone mineral density
- EFFECTs- hyponatremia; AFFINITY- falls, epileptic seizures.
- EFFECTS and FOCUS reported fewer cases of post-stroke depression (AFFINITY only one to publish depression analysis)
- Fluoxetine should NOT be routinely prescribed to improve general functional outcome
- Opinion: Consider for patients with confirmed depression +/- arm weakness

- Consider the outcome: modified Rankin vs Fugl-Meyer (FLAME)

Post-Stroke Depression

4.9. Depression Screening

4.9. Depression Screening	COR	LOE		
1. Administration of a structured depression inventory is recommended to routinely screen for poststroke depression, but the optimal timing of screening is uncertain.	I	B-NR		
A meta-analysis of studies assessing poststroke depression screening tools (24 studies, n=2907) found seve inventories with high sensitivity for detecting poststroke depression. ²⁴¹ However, further research is needed to determine the optimal screening method and timing to diagnose and treat poststroke depression. ²⁴²				
2. Patients diagnosed with poststroke depression should be treated with antidepressants in the absence of contraindications and closely monitored to verify effectiveness.	I	B-R		

- Prevalence: 20-80%, peak at 3-6 mo post-stroke
- Associated with increased mortality, greater cognitive impairment, worse functional outcome
- Early diagnosis and treatment improve outcomes
- No control studies but pharmaceutical is first line
- Nonpharmacological strategies less clear

	Una	adjusted mod	dels	Adjusted models		
Outcome (at three-month F/U)	Estimate	Standard error	p-Value	Estimate	Standard error	p-Value
Motricity arm	-2.46	0.85	0.00599	-1.99	0.75	0.01210
Motricity side	-1.26	0.57	0.03231	-0.82	0.34	0.02166
FM-UE	-1.14	0.54	0.04054	-0.77	0.38	0.04947
ARAT	-1.09	0.55	0.05458	-0.73	0.55	0.19780
NIHSS	0.14	0.09	0.12659	1.1.1	-	-
mRS	0.06	0.04	0.14752	-	-	-
Motricity leg	-0.57	0.45	0.20821	-	-	-
MOCA	0.24	0.24	0.32422	-	÷	

PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire; mRS: modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; FM-UE: Fugl-Meyer Upper Extremity; ARAT: Action Research Arm Test; MOCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment.

Table 3. Linear regression models with three-month F/U PHQ-9 as a predictor.



Normal Depression screening process

TABLE 2

PHQ-2 Screening Instrument for Depression

Over the past two weeks, how often have you been bothered by any of the following problems?	Not at all	Several days	More than half the days	Nearly every day
Little interest or pleasure in doing things	0	1	2	3
Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless	0	1	2	3

Scoring: A score of 3 or more is considered a positive result. The PHQ-9 (Table 3) or a clinical interview should be completed for patients who screen positive.

PHQ = Patient Health Questionnaire.

Adapted from Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ) screeners. http://www.phqscreeners.com. Accessed February 8, 2018.

PHQ-2 Score = 4 PHQ-9 Score = 25

Consult has been sent to Social Worker.

TABLE 3

PHQ-9 Screening Instrument for Depression

Over the past two weeks, how often have you been bothered by any of the following problems?	Not at all	Several days	More than half the days	Nearly every day
Little interest or pleasure in doing things	0	1	2	3
Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless	0	1	2	3
Trouble falling or staying asleep, or sleeping too much	0	1	2	3
Feeling tired or having little energy	0	1	2	3
Poor appetite or overeating	0	1	2	3
Feeling bad about yourself—or that you are a failure or have let yourself or your family down	0	1	2	3
Trouble concentrating on things, such as reading the newspaper or watching television	0	1	2	3
Moving or speaking so slowly that other people could have noticed; or the opposite—being so fidgety or restless that you have been moving around a lot more than usual	0	1	2	3
Thoughts that you would be better off dead or of hurting yourself in some way	0	1	2	3

Scoring: 1 to 4 points = minimal depression, 5 to 9 points = mild depression, 10 to 14 points = moderate depression, 15 to 19 points = moderately severe depression, 20 to 27 points = severe depression.

PHQ = Patient Health Questionnaire.

Adapted from Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ) screeners. http://www.phqscreeners.com. Accessed February 8, 2018.

Stroke Example 1

Depression Screen

Reason Unable To Assess PHQ-2: Global aphasia

Stroke Example 2

Depression Screen

PHQ Little Interest: Not at all PHQ Feeling Down, Depressed or Helpless: Not at all PHQ-2 Score: 0

Neurologic: PERRL
On precedex for sedation. Intubated
PERRL
RUE: trace movements to pain
RLE: trace movements to pain
LUE, LLE: moving spontaneously
corneals+
cough+



Post-stroke care

Recommendations: Organization of Poststroke Rehabilitation Care (Levels of Care)	Class	Level of Evidence
It is recommended that stroke patients who are candidates for postacute rehabilitation receive organized, coordinated, interprofessional care.	T	A
It is recommended that stroke survivors who qualify for and have access to IRF care receive treatment in an IRF in preference to a SNF.	I.	В
Organized community-based and coordinated interprofessional rehabilitation care is recommended in the outpatient or home-based settings.	i.	C
ESD services may be reasonable for people with mild to moderate disability.	llb	В



Multidisciplinary Clinics

HEALTH SYSTEMS 2020, VOL 9, NO. 2, 95–118 https://doi.org/10.1080/20476965.2018.1436909



OPEN ACCESS

Check for ...pdates

REVIEW ARTICLE

Multi-disciplinary planning in health care: a review

A. G. Leeftink^a, I. A. Bikker^{a,b}, I. M. H. Vliegen^c and R. J. Boucherie^a

^aCenter for Healthcare Operations Improvement and Research, University of Twente, Enschede, Netherlands; ^bDepartment of Healthcare Logistics, Sint Maartenskliniek, Nijmegen, Netherlands; ^cDepartment of Industrial Engineering & Innovation Sciences, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, Netherlands

• Benefits:

Standardization

33

- Teamwork
- Communication
- Access
- Satisfaction
- Outcomes/QOL
- Cost-effectiveness

Open Access

Research

BMJ Open Elements of integrated care approaches for older people: a review of reviews

Andrew M Briggs,^{1,2} Pim P Valentijn,^{3,4,5} Jotheeswaran A Thiyagarajan, Islene Araujo de Carvalho¹

Stroke Multidisciplinary Care

Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare

8 Equitation Part Park Arrists

the second second to a solution of the second

Doverress

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

A multidisciplinary stroke clinic for outpatient care of veterans with cerebrovascular disease

- Stroke mortality improving; patients living longer with disability and risk of further stroke
- Integrated care in other countries
- Pilot clinics in the US i.e. VA





Disability and Rehabilitation

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/idre20

Benefits of an interdisciplinary stroke clinic: addressing a gap in physical therapy at post-stroke neurology follow-up

Nandakumar Nagaraja, Alison R. Kraus, Dorian K. Rose, Esther B. Olasoji, Anna Y. Khanna, Alexis N. Simpkins, Christina A. Wilson, Rondalyn R. Dickens, Vishnumurthy Shushrutha Hedna, Carolyn Geis, Teddy Youn & Michelle M. Musalo

- Vascular Neurologist + Physical Therapy
- 148 pts, with a significant number >6 months found to be appropriate for additional rehabilitation
- Worse walking performance and QOL associated with new referral for additional therapy

vior & Frank s

Traditional outpatient models





Why focus on the post-acute period?



Katie I. Gallacher. Stroke. Multimorbidity in Stroke, Volume: 50, Issue: 7, Pages: 1919-1926, DOI: (10.1161/STROKEAHA.118.020376)



RESEARCH ARTICLE



Critical Period After Stroke Study (CPASS): A phase II clinical trial testing an optimal time for motor recovery after stroke in humans

Alexander W. Dromerick, Shashwati Ceed, Jessica Barth, Kathaleen Brady, Margot L. Giannetti, Abigail Mitchell, Matthew A. Edwardson, Ming T. Tan, Yizhao Zhou, 🧶 Elissa L. Newport, and Dorothy H. Edwards





With Stroke Recovery Clinic





UAB Stroke Recovery Clinic



LIA = THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM.

Email: clin@uabmc.edu

UAB Stroke Recovery Clinic

HEALTH & MEDICINE

New UAB Medicine stroke rehab clinic offers unique one-stop shopping

by Bob Shepard

January 30, 2019 Print Email

The new UAB Medicine Stroke Recovery Clinic at the University of Alabama at Birmingham will provide a unique opportunity for those recovering from a stroke to wrap the entire outpatient experience into one package. The multidisciplinary clinic offers the combined expertise of stroke neurologists with rehabilitation physicians and therapists so patients can have all their medical and rehabilitation needs met at one time in one place. The combination clinic is one of only a very few across the country and the only one of its type in the Southeast.

"Alabama is in the heart of the stroke belt, the region throughout the Southeast with the highest incidence of stroke in the nation," said Chen Lin, M.D., assistant professor in the UAB Department of Neurology in the School of Medicine. "Only a fraction of stroke survivors



Xiaohua Zhou, M.D., and Chen Lin, M.D.

receive any rehabilitation after leaving the hospital. The Stroke Recovery Clinic encompasses the two medical disciplines most involved with treating stroke: the Department of Neurology and the Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation."



Email: clin@uabmc.edu

Patient characteristics (n=29)				
Age, mean ± SD 62.7 ± 12.2				
Sex				
Male 62.5%				
Female	37.5%			
Race				
White	45.8%			
Black	50.0%			
Unknown	4.2%			
Impacted side				
Right	45.8%			
Left	33.3%			
Bilateral	4 (16.7%)			
NIHSS, mean ± SD	6.9 ± 5.5			
mRS, mean ± SD	3.1 ± 1.2			
PHQ-9, mean ± SD	mean ± SD 7.0 ± 4.6			
Depression (PHQ-9 ≥5)	62.5%			
Motricity index, mean ± SD				
Arm	65.3 ± 34.6			
Leg	70.9 ± 30.3			
Side	68.0 ± 29.8			
MOCA, mean ± SD	17.8 ± 7.6			
Hypertension	85.7%			
Diabetes	53.3%			



Potential concerns

- Cognition
- Post-stroke activities: exercise, driving, return to work
- Speech
- Vision impairment
- Hearing loss and dizziness

Specific Deficits

Shoulder Pain

- Incidence to 22% in 1st year. Prevalence up to 80% of patients
- Usually presents during rehabilitation but injury most likely when flaccid and unstable
- Causes: Subdeltoid bursitis, supraspinatus tendinitis, brachial plexopathy, rotator cuff tears, subluxation of humeral head
- Avoid traction and torsion
- Education recommended including ROM and Positioning (I, C)
- Work with PM&R and Therapy on local pain control

Spasticity

- Prevalence of 25-43% in 1st year.
- Incidence of UL in 1st 3 mo for rehab patients is 33%.
- Impairs function, ADLs, and QOL
- Botox [®] FDA approved, shown to improve activity
- Does not improve <u>functional</u> use of limb

Recommendations: Spesticity	Class	Evidence
Targeted injection of botulinum toxin into localized upper limb muscles is recommended to reduce specificity, to improve passive or active range of motion, and to improve dressing, hygiene, and timb positioning.	1	٨
Targeted injection of botulinum toxin into lower limb muscles is recommended to reduce spasticity that interferes with gait function.	Ţ	A
Oral antispasticity agents can be useful for generalized spastic dystonia but may result in dose-limiting sedation or other side effects,	la	٨
Physical modalities such as NMES or vibration applied to spastic muscles may be reasonable to improve spasticity temporarily as an adjunct to rehabilitation therapy.	lib	٨
Intrathecial bactoren therapy may be useful for severe spastic hypertonia that does not respond to other interventions.	lib	Å
Postural training and task-oriented therapy may be considered for rehabilitation of ataxis.	-10	G
The use of splints and taping are not recommended for prevention of wrist and finger spasticity after stroke.	-	8

Could you live alone without any help from another person? This means being able to bathe, use the toilet, shop, prepare or get meals, and manage finances.

Modified Rankin Score

49



Bruno, Akinwunta, & Lin. Stroke. 2010.



Health-Related Quality of Life

- Stroke outcomes have focused on functional status using disability scales ie mRS.
- HRQOL provide <u>direct</u> measure of patients' perspective on a given domain (depression, pain, function, etc.), without clinician interpretation (FDA)
- Patients' perspective of illness impacting their life's quality or function
- Ischemic stroke leads to impairment in QOL. (REGARDS study Haley, 2011; Tengs, 2001; Chang, 2016; SPS3 Dhamoon, 2014)
- Deficits in QOL exist despite having minimal or no functional post-stroke disability. (Lai, 2002)
- Even in mild stroke and TIA, impaired QOL can be seen in 36% of patients (Sangha, 2015)
- Women had worse QOL in multiple domains up to 12 mo after stroke (Bushnell, 2014).



HRQOL Model in the hospital



2015 Major Thrombectomy Trials

Table 5: Quality of Life (EQ-5D) in Included RCTs

Author, Year	Intervention	Control	Effect Variable	Unadjusted Value (95% CI)	Adjusted Value (95% Cl)
Berkhemer et al, 2015 ²⁷	0.69 (0.33–0.85) ^a	0.66 (0.30-0.81)	Beta ^b	0.08 (0.00–0.15)	0.06 (0.01 to 0.13)
Goyal et al, 2015 ²⁹	80 (60–90) ^c	65 (50-80)	Beta ^b	9.4 (3.5–15.2)	9.9 (3.8–16.0)
Jovin et al, 2015 ³⁰	0.65 (0.21–0.79) ^a	0.32 (0.13–0.70)	Beta ^b	0.13 (0.03–0.23)	0.11 (0.02–0.21)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; EQ-5D, EuroQoL Group 5-Dimension Self-Report Questionnaire; IQR, interquartile range; RCT, randomized controlled trial.

^aEQ-5D index score presented as median (IQR).

^bRegression was used analyze the effect.

^cEQ-5D visual-analogue scale score presented as median (IQR).

- MR Clean, ESCAPE, and REVASCAT used QOL as 2ndary endpoint
- All 3 showed higher QOL after thrombectomy, including ESCAPE reporting visual-analogue score
- Cannot pool outcomes as scores different and likely skewed

Health Quality Ontario. 2016

HRQOL Model





Research

ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTIONS

Predicting Domain-Specific Health-Related Quality of Life Using Acute Infarct Volume

Chen Lin, MD, Jungwha Lee, PhD. Neil Chatterjee, BS, Carlos Corado, BS, Timothy Carroll, PhD, Andrew Naidech, MD, MS, and Shyam Prabhakaran, MD, MS

Infarct location is associated with quality of life after mild ischemic stroke

Chen Lin¹, Rajbeer Sangha¹, Jungwha Lee⁵, Carlos Corado¹, Anvesh Jalasutram², Neil Chatterjee¹, Carson Ingo^{1,4}, Timothy Carroll³ and Shyam Prabhakaran¹ International Journal of Stroke 2018, Vol. 13(8) 824–831 © 2018 World Stroke Organization Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1747493018783760 journals.sagepub.com/home/wso









Stroke Volume 52, Issue 8, Sectomber 2021, Pages 2958-2971 http://col.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.031672



BRIEF REPORTS

Association of Proportional Recovery After Stroke With Health-Related Quality of Life

Chen Lin, MD (10), Kimberly Martin, PhD (10), Yurany A. Arevalo, MD, Richard L. Harvey, MD, and Shyam Prabhakatan, MD, MS



Failure to meet the proportional recovery thresholds for the Fugl-Meyer Upper Extremity and Motricity Index measures were not associated with impairment in health-related quality of life. Patients can maintain un-impaired quality of life despite not meeting expected proportional recovery thresholds.

Research and new findings



FDA NEWS RELEASE

FDA Approves First-of-Its-Kind Stroke Rehabilitation System

🕈 Share 🍠 🕬 🔐 📩 Inkédia 🖾 Emai 🛱 Print

For Immediate Release: August 27, 2021

Español

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration today approved the MicroTransponder Vivistim Paired VNS System (Vivistim System), a first-of-its-kind, drug-free rehabilitation system intended to treat moderate to severe upper extremity motor deficits associated with chronic ischemic stroke—a stroke caused by a blockage of blood flow to the brain with long lasting symptoms using vagus nerve stimulation (VNS).

"People who have lost mobility in their hands and arms due to ischemic stroke are often limited in their treatment options for regaining motor function" said Christopher M. Loftus, M.D., acting director of the FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health's Office of Neurological and Physical Medicine Devices. "Today's approval of the Vivistim Paired VNS System offers the first stroke rehabilitation option using vagus nerve stimulation. Used alongside rehabilitative exercise, this device may offer benefit to those who have lost function in their upper limbs due to ischemic stroke."

THE LANCET

ARTICLES | VOLUME 397, ISSUE 10284, P1545-1553, APRIL 24, 2021

Vagus nerve stimulation paired with rehabilitation for upper limb motor function after ischaemic stroke (VNS-REHAB): a randomised, blinded, pivotal, device trial

Prof Jesse Dawson, MD 🙁 📼 - Prof Charles Y Liu, PhD - Prof Gerard E Francisco, MD - Prof Steven C Cramer, MD -Prof Steven L Wolf, PhD - Anand Dixit, MD - Jen Alexander, MSc - Rushna Ali, MD - Benjamin L Brown, MD -Prof Wuwei Feng, MD - Louis DeMark, DPT - Prof Leigh R Hochberg, PhD - Prof Steven A Kautz, PhD -Prof Arshad Majid, MD - Prof Michael W O'Dell, MD - David Pierce, MSEE - Cecilia N Prudente, PhD -Jessica Redgrave, MD - Prof Duncan L Turner, PhD - Navzer D Engineer, PhD - Prof Teresa J Kimberley, PhD - Show less

Published: April 24, 2021 - DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00475-X - 🖪 Creck for updates



Future Directions

- Integrating telehealth
- Continued longitudinal understanding of stroke recovery and HRQOL
- Multimodal intervention studies with the clinic:
 - repetitive reinforcement
 - focusing on appropriate outcome measure
- Treating comordities to improve stroke outcomes:
 - pain, mental health, cognition, sleep, etc.
- Neuromodulation

Acknowledgements

Shyam Prabhakaran, MD

Elliot Roth, MD, PhD

Richard L Harvey MD

Julius Dewald, PhD

Michael Ellis, DPT

Carson Ingo, PhD

Ajay Kurani, PhD

James Higgins, PhD

Alexander Korutz, MD

Research supported by:



U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Students and Volunteers:

Neil Chatterjee

Andrea Arevalo

Muhammad Mansour

Mansi Katkar

...and many others



Shirley Ryan







Northwestern University
NUCATS
Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute

Contact us

CME: QUFLAK

- 205.975.8569
- **RWUH M226**
- CLIN@UABMC.EDU
 - Lab: https://sites.uab.edu/clin/

LinkedIn: https://www.linkedin.com/in/chen-lin-11a8731b5



Stroke Recovery Clinic at UAB:

Stroke as a chronic conditions

THE UNIVERSITY OF

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM.

Email: clin@uabmc.edu

Thank you!